

Revised!

4403
100.7

SEP 12 1985

John Wise
Deputy Regional Administrator
U.S. EPA
215 Fremont Street
San Francisco, CA

Dear Mr. Wise:

In the past we have had some concerns as to how coordination between our two agencies was evolving, specifically as it related to the "Atlas Mine" National Priority List site. It had been our hope to continue to work closely with your staff to evaluate the incoming data for a Remedial Investigation/Feasibility Study and determine the level of

Recently, we were notified by our Washington Office that EPA had received an A-106 "Federal Facilities Pollution" Report Region concerning the mine site with pollution control measures that were projected to cost \$10 million. Since that notification, our staff has spent a considerable amount of time trying to find out exactly what your staff sent forward and why.

Attached are two documents, the first being a letter from the Toxics Division to the Air Division notifying them of a potential violation of the National Emissions Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants (NESHAPs) and the second is the form sent by your Federal Facilities group to your Washington Office.

The form leaves us with many concerns. First, in the section delineating why the project must be funded in FY 87 there is the statement "EPA's position is that it is not their responsibility to reduce or alleviate the problem, and the site does not qualify for CERCLA remedial actions because ground water is not currently imperiled." How could this be our position on a NESHAPs violation, when we were never notified by your agency that a violation had occurred. The first time we became aware of an "Air Quality problem" was by that initial call from our Washington Office. The second part of that statement -- "the site does not qualify for CERCLA remedial...because ground water is not imperiled" -- is in no way accurate. Also, the form states that the asbestos piles blow across a heavily used State highway. This statement refers to Interstate 5 which is miles away from the area and does not receive the asbestos dust.

It appears that your staff cut and pasted various inputs from prior correspondence on the NPL listing concerns free our agency and never went back to read and analyze what the new sentence that they had created was actually saying.

As two agencies currently working together on the Atlas Mine as a Superfund site, we don't fully understand why the Air Quality problem is being separated as an issue and not being dealt with under the Superfund process. Whatever avenues are determined necessary to address this site we would appreciate greater attention being placed upon up front coordination so that we are not surprised by any future issues that may arise.

Sincerely,

Ed Mastay
Ed Mastay
State Director

Inclussions

CC:

WD 501 (Room 5647)
DM, Baledale

PAYNE:pdcl 9/11/85

CAA

Tom Loomis - DOI, D.C.

8-343-8661

ATTACHMENT IV

REPORT OF
NEEDED PROJECT - FISCAL YEAR 1987

PRESENT AGENCY: U.S. BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT (INTERIOR DEPT.)

FACILITY NAME:

ATLAS ASBESTOS SITE (ABANDONED MILLING & MINING SITE).

FACILITY LOCATION: Near town of Coalinga; Fresno County, Calif. (on BLM

PROPERTY REQUIREMENT FOR PROJECT: CAA Sec. 112 & 40 CFR 61(m),
Lands)

COMPLIANCE MUST BE ACHIEVED: AS SOON AS POSSIBLE.

EXHANGE STATUS OF FACILITY IN MEETING STATUTORY REQUIREMENT: ESDP

OPTION CONTROLS REQUIRED: (see below).

PROPOSED COST OF CONTROLS: \$10 million.

PROJECT MUST BE FUNDED IN FY 87: Project must be rated "HIGH PRIORITY".
Asbestos tailings piles blow into the area, across a heavily
used State highway, etc., causing an on-going, severe threat to
public health. BLM's position is that it is not their responsibility
to clean up or alleviate the problem, and the site does not qualify for
federal remedial actions because ground water is not currently imperiled.
The site is currently in violation of both CAA Sec.112 & 40 CFR 61(m).

EPA STAFF MEMBER PREPARING FORM: Nick Morgan, EPA Region 9
OR CERCLA STAFF MEMBER.

PHONE NUMBER: 415-974-6918 or FTS 454-6918.

Asbestos Company, now out of business, mined & milled asbestos on
BLM lands. Currently, 140 acres of exposed, uncovered asbestos
piles are blowing into the air, and the area is also heavily
used by hikers, ORV users, hunters, etc. These 140 acres need to be
covered. The program is required under the air program for
violations, and is currently in violation of CAA & 40 CFR 61(m).

THIS SHOULD BE DESIGNATED "HIGH PRIORITY" FOR IMMEDIATE FUNDING.

1 - AUG 1985

NASLANS Referral for Visible Emissions at the Atlas Asbestos Mine, Fresno County, CA
Original Signed By:

Berry Seraydarian, Director
Toxics and Waste Management Division (T-W)

David Bowekamp, Director
Risk Management Division (R-M)

Recently while members of our Superfund team were collecting soil samples along road and stream bank areas, we found some asbestos fibers in the tailings piles. These fibers were observed on the surface of the piles, and also in the piles themselves. We have recently suspended work piles near the tailings area due to recent RACT & RTR (Regional Tailings Rule) Standard violations. This is a possibility for implementation to ATLAS in the near future.

The Atlas asbestos mine and mill is located in the town of Keweenaw Park, Michigan. In 1976 and 1977, EPA made a request for asbestos fiber analysis from the Bureau of Mines. The Bureau reported that the fiber analysis showed the presence of chrysotile asbestos in the tailings piles. The Bureau recommended that the fiber analysis be continued by the Bureau of Mines.

In 1978, the Bureau of Mines conducted a fiber analysis of the tailings piles. The Bureau reported that the fiber analysis showed the presence of chrysotile asbestos in the tailings piles. The Bureau recommended that the fiber analysis be continued by the Bureau of Mines.

We are requesting your assistance in the development of a sampling plan to sample the tailings piles for asbestos. The sampling plan should include the following information: location of the tailings piles, the number of samples to be taken, the type of sampling equipment to be used, and the frequency of sampling.

Thank you for your assistance in this matter, and for your cooperation in the development of our sampling plan.